

Table 29a. *Hydrangea paniculata* 'LeeP1' White Wedding® average monthly quality ratings (scale 1-5, 1= lowest, 5 = highest) at UC Davis on 3 ETo-based irrigation levels in 2020.

Category	ET _o %	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Avg
Overall Appearance	1	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	2.1	2.6	3.6 ^a
	0.5	3.8	3.1	3.7	3.7	4.1	2.4	2.2	3.3 ^{ab}
	0.2	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.0	1.4	1.7	2.9 ^b
Foliage	0.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.3	4.4	1.9	3.5	4.1 ^a
	0.5	5.0	4.1	5.0	4.0	4.4	2.1	1.9	3.8 ^{ab}
	0.2	5.0	5.0	4.7	3.7	3.3	1.4	1.7	3.6 ^b
Flower	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.5	2.8	2.0	1.9 ^a
	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	4.9	2.4	1.0	1.6 ^{ab}
	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.9	1.1	0.4	0.7 ^b
Pest Resistance	0.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	0.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	0.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disease Resistance	0.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	0.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	0.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Vigor	0.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	2.5	3.4	4.4 ^a
	0.5	5.0	4.3	4.6	4.7	5.0	2.9	2.4	4.1 ^{ab}
	0.2	5.0	4.9	4.3	3.6	3.6	1.7	2.0	3.6 ^b




Figure 22a. *Hydrangea paniculata* 'LeeP1' White Wedding® average monthly plant growth index (PGI) at UC Davis on 3 ETo-based irrigation levels in 2020.




Figure 22b. *Hydrangea paniculata* 'LeeP1' White Wedding® average monthly relative plant growth index (RPGI) at UC Davis on 3 ETo-based irrigation levels in 2020.