

Table 4. *Bouteloua gracilis* 'Blonde Ambition' average monthly quality ratings (scale of 1-5) on 4 ET<sub>0</sub>-based irrigation levels during 2016. There were no significant differences between treatments.

<b>Foliage</b>	<b>April</b>	<b>May</b>	<b>June</b>	<b>July</b>	<b>Aug</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Oct</b>	<b>AVG</b>
80%	4.3	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.8	4.8	4.7	4.2
60%	4.7	4.8	3.5	4.5	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.4
40%	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.0
20%	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.5
<b>Flowering</b>								
80%		2.0	2.5	4.3	4.8	5.0	4.8	3.9
60%		2.0	2.7	4.7	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0
40%		1.5	2.8	4.4	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.9
20%		2.3	3.7	4.8	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.3
<b>Pest Tolerance</b>								
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
60%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
40%	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
20%	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Disease Resistance</b>								
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
60%	5.0	4.6	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
40%	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
20%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Vigor</b>								
80%	4.2	3.2	3.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1
60%	4.5	4.6	3.8	4.5	4.2	4.7	4.7	4.4
40%	3.5	4.0	2.7	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.0	3.8
20%	4.3	3.8	3.3	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.3
<b>Overall Appearance</b>								
80%	3.2	2.8	3.2	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.7	3.9
60%	3.7	3.6	3.7	4.5	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.2
40%	3.0	2.8	2.2	3.7	4.0	4.6	4.6	3.6
20%	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.2




Figure 1g. *Bouteloua gracilis* 'Blonde Ambition' average monthly plant growth index on 4 ET<sub>0</sub>-based irrigation treatments in 2016. There were no significant differences between treatments. Bars represent  $\pm 1$  SE.




Figure 1h. *Bouteloua gracilis* 'Blonde Ambition' average monthly relative plant growth index on 4 ET<sub>0</sub>-based irrigation treatments in 2016. There were no significant differences between treatments. Bars represent  $\pm 1$  SE.