

Table 8a. *Lygeum spartum* average monthly quality ratings (scale of 1-5) on 3 ET_o-based irrigation levels during 2017. Different superscripts denote significant differences within the month at p≤0.05 using ANOVA and Tukey's HSD.

	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	AVG
Overall Appearance							
80%	4.4	5.0	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.9	4.6
50%	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.9
20%	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.5
Foliage							
80%	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.9
50%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
20%	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.4	4.8	4.8
Flowering							
80%	3.4	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8 ^a	4.8	4.6
50%	2.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9 ^a	5.0	4.5
20%	2.3	4.9	4.9	4.9	3.4 ^b	4.6	4.2
Pest Tolerance							
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
50%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
20%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
Disease Resistance							
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
50%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
20%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Vigor							
80%	4.6	5.0	4.5	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.8
50%	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.9
20%	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.6	4.3

Table 8b. Open House participant ratings for *Lygeum spartum* on 3 ET_o-based irrigation treatments in May, July, and September 2017.

	ET _o %	May			July			September		
		80	50	20	80	50	20	80	50	20
Overall Appearance	Max	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Mean	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9
	Median	4	4	4						
	Min	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2
Foliage Quality	Max	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Mean	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9
	Median	4	4	4						
	Min	2	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2
Floral Display	Max	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Mean	2.1	2.1	1.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
	Median	2	2	1	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0




Figure 2a. *Lygeum spartum* average monthly plant growth index on 3 ET₀-based irrigation levels during 2017. (May data unavailable.) Bars represent ±1 SE.




Figure 2b. *Lygeum spartum* average relative monthly plant growth index on 3 ET₀-based irrigation levels during 2017. (May data unavailable.) Bars represent ±1 SE. There were no significant differences between treatments.