Quick Recommendation Evaluation.

University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources

You develop a solution. Is it any good? This sheet helps you assess the fit of a recommendation. You will:

- 1. Clarify the target users (audience) for the technology and the problem being addressed
- 2. Articulate requirements for and benefits of the technology
- 3. Identify where the technology could be applied and cost implications



) Target users and their needs					
Who are your target users? (Conside knowledge base, demographics such land tenure, access to credit and ma	size,				
What problem does your recommendation solve and does it address the main cause of the problem? Have your target users <u>widely</u> expressed interest in the problem? (Consider who was asked?)					
What fraction of possible users could and benefit?	I realistically ad	opt			
) Summary description of diffe					
	What are the major differences between the "new" and present practice (why might it be more or less acceptable!)				
Consider factors like Requirements: e.g., Labor, inputs, credit and resource Does the practice fit with other activities? Who is involved to implement? Effects on the environment					
Are inputs easily available?		☐ Yes	☐ Could be an	issue	
Are inputs readily affordable?		☐ Yes	☐ Could be an	issue	
Is more labor or capital required?		☐ Yes	☐ Could be an	issue	
Is credit (if needed) readily available and affordable?		□ Yes	☐ Could be an	☐ Could be an issue	
Is the technology easy to understand	d and test?	□ Yes	☐ Could be an	issue	
How much training is required?		☐ A little	☐ A fair amount	☐ A lot	
Note any fragile parts or maintenanc	e needs?				
) Solution - Where does it fit?					
List any specific environmental condi					
needed – e.g., climate, soil type, etc. List any socio-economic conditions re					
(e.g., capital, market, infrastructure, o					

4) Solution - Bene	efits?						
Type of benefit:	Yield change?						
	Quality change? ☐ Yes						
	Other (e.g., labor re		2)				
(If labor, are there gender aspects?) Is there a definite market for additional product or better price for better quality? ☐ Yes ☐ Maybe							
Is there a definite in Is benefit obvious		uct or better pri	ce for better qual ☐ No	ity? □ Yes □ Maybe □ Maybe			
	ake to recover costs of the		LI 110	<u>ы мауре</u>			
Trow long does it to	and to recover costs or the	c teerinology:					
5) Solution - Risk	s?						
Specify any possib	ole risks						
What might limit ac	What might limit adoption or testing?						
A) = .		•					
	alysis - Cost comparis						
Requirements	Present practi	ice (\$)		lew practice (\$)			
Labor (Male/Female)	M F		M F				
,	F		<u> </u>				
Inputs required							
Capital							
requirements							
Operating costs							
Credit costs							
Other							
Total							
For a full economic	analysis, see the CIMM	YT 1988 work	hook at:				
	nmyt.org/xmlui/bitstrear						
				the farmer could earn per			
each additiona	ıl dollar that spends on t	the technolog	v	•			
			New - pre	esent (\$)			
Marginal return	or benefit (amount of			(4)			
	tional item) =						
	ost per additional item						
produced) =							
Marginal rate of re	eturn (MRR) = Net benefi	it/Marginal cost	*100 (9/) _				
	ten cited as a minimum M						
110101 10 00 70 10 01							
8) Conclusion							
•							
What might limit adop	ption or testing?						
Ma				10			
	ou need to address to ens		nd technology spr	ead?			
3.							