

Survey of 10 stream diversion based irrigated meadows



Sample above and below, record management



Change in Concentration (Below – Above)

Stream	E. coli	TSS	E.C.
1	-1036	-2.3	22.0
2	-233	-2.0	-0.1
3	-182	2.2	24.6
4	10	-5.5	2.7
5	11	4.5	54.0
6	12	-1.9	0.2
7	21	0.0	0.1
8	88	1.0	8.2
9	230	1.4	8.4
10	1064	2.8	2.3

Irrigation Application Rate & Runoff Rate



Cattle Stocking Density (AU = 1 cow)



Foothill and valley flood irrigated pastures

- Studies at SFREC from small plot to pasture scale.
- Rotational grazing disconnect active grazing from irrigation events.
- Irrigation application minimize tailwater generation.

E. coli increases with tailwater runoff rate



E. coli reduced by rest from grazing before irrigation









Annual Range - Winter



Location, location, location

>90% of *E. coli*, *C. parvum, Giardia, Salmonella* load retained in the fecal pat or trapped within 1 ft



Location, location, location

An additional 30% to 99.9% trapped within 1 yard of pat



Distribute cow pats away from streams and the whole range is a buffer



Keep it out of the creek







Fecal loading rates are dependent upon season,

watershed position, & management.





Do you know where is your supplement is?

- Move existing supplement and water sites out of near-stream locations.
- Evaluate trails leading to and from existing and proposed sites – do they link site to
 - surface water?



Appropriate grazing pressure to maintain soil surface infiltration rates and natural buffering capacity.



E. coli flux decreased from 200 to 1,000 lb/ac forage cover, but increased from 1,000 to 4,000



Irrigated Pasture

- Reduce runoff rates
- Moderate stocking rates
- Remove cattle before irrigation allow mortality/crusting
- Avoid direct in-stream fecal/urine deposition

Annual Range

- Moderate stocking rates
- Use livestock attractants to distribute livestock away from streams
- Avoid direct in-stream feces and urine deposition
- Timing of pasture use allow mortality/crusting