1995/1996 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

SAMPLE COSTS TO PRODUCE

~BARLEY~



Dryland and Conventional Tillage Conditions SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

by

Etaferahu Takele, Area Farm Management Economics Advisor, Southern Region Michael Smith, Farm Advisor, San Luis Obispo County

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

SAMPLE COSTS TO PRODUCE DRYLAND BARLEY Under Conventional Tillage Practices San Luis Obispo County - 1995/1996

INTRODUCTION

Detailed costs to produce barley in San Luis Obispo County are presented in this study. The hypothetical farm used consists of 5,000 acres which are in conventionally tilled dryland barley and wheat production.

This study consists of General Assumptions we used for producing barley along with six tables of cost analyses. The practices described are considered typical for barley production in San Luis Obispo County. They do not reflect the exact values or practices of any grower or shipper, but are rather an amalgamation of costs and practices in the region. Sample costs given for labor, materials, equipment and contract services are based on 1995/1996 prices. Some costs and practices detailed in this study may not be applicable to your situation. *The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation by the University of California nor is any criticism implied by omission of other similar products.* This study is intended as a guide, it can be used in making production decisions, determining potential returns, preparing budgets and evaluating production loans.

Costs are presented in six tables.

- Table 1.Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley
- Table 2.Costs And Returns Per Acre To Produce Barley
- Table 3.Monthly Cash Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley
- Table 4.Annual Equipment, Investment And Business Overhead
- Table 5.Hourly Equipment Costs
- Table 6.Ranging Analysis

A blank *Your Costs* column is provided to enter your actual costs on **Tables 1** and **2**, **Costs Per Acre To Produce Barley** and **Costs And Returns Per Acre To Produce Barley**.

For an explanation of calculations used for the study refer to the attached General Assumptions, call Area Farm Management Economics Advisor, Eta Takele at the University of California Cooperative Extension, Moreno Valley, California, (909) 683-6491 ext. 243 or call San Luis Obispo County Agronomy Farm Advisor, Michael Smith, (805) 237-3100.

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origins, or mental or physical handicaps in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because the individuals are disabled or Vietnam era veterans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, (415) 644-4270.

University of California and the United States Department of Agriculture cooperating.

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THIS STUDY

The following is a description of some of the general assumptions used in the development of the sample costs for producing dryland barley under conventional tillage conditions in San Luis Obispo County in 1995/96.

1. LAND, CLIMATE, AND ROTATION:

Areas that produce dryland barley in the Central Coast are primarily located inland from the coast, east of the Santa Lucia Mountain and the Sierra Madre Mountain ranges.

The site for the hypothetical farm is characterized by both level plains and some moderate to highly erodable hillsides and hilltops. The farm is 5,000 acres, one-half of which is planted to dryland barley and the other one-half to dry land wheat both under conventional tillage.

Land Rent: Growers in the Central Coast both own and rent land for barley production. Rents or leases are charged as a per acre cash rent or share rent based on gross returns or yields. Share rents normally range between 18% to 25%. In this study land rent is based on 20% of the gross returns payable to the owner of the land.

<u>Climate:</u> Annual rainfall for this region varies from 3 to 30 inches, most of which comes in the winter months. Historically temperatures have ranged from 0_ to 117_ with the extremes occurring in the winter and summer, respectively. Growers plan their cropping system around these conditions in order to take advantage of the best possible growing conditions for dryland barley.

Rotation: Rotation is recognized as having beneficial effects on dryland barley production for storing soil moisture, and control of weeds and pests. Weeds not controlled by herbicides are usually managed by fallow rotation. The major agronomic commodities produced in this region have been barley and wheat, although there is interest in hay and safflower. It is important to note that cattle grazing is a very important part of rotational practices for growers in this region.

Amount and timing of annual rainfall, and weed pressures are the most important agronomic factors influencing crop rotation. Dryland barley is very dependent on the amount of rain and how well it is stored in the soil profile. In this study we used a 2-year rotation of barley and summer fallow. Low precipitation or weed infestations that use up stored soil water may cause growers to use a rotation pattern different from the two year rotation described in this report.

2. CULTURAL PRACTICES AND PRODUCTION INPUTS

Cultural practices for the production of conventional tillage, dryland barley in the Carrizo Plains region vary somewhat from grower to grower. Differences in cultural inputs can be caused by seasonal pests and weed pressures, the timing and amounts of rainfall as well as government regulations. Practices and inputs used in this cost study are based on typical grower practices in the region.

<u>Weed Control:</u> The most common and troublesome weeds in this region are wild mustard (*Brassica nigra*), wild radish (*Paphanus sativus* L.), coast fiddleneck (*Amsinka intermedia*), shepardspurse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* L.), field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), ripgut brome (*Bromus diandrus*), wild oats (*Avena fatua*) and Russian thistle (*Salsola iberica*). Proper weed management is essential for a profitable crop. Weed competition for water and nutrients can significantly reduce dryland barley yields. In this study, herbicides, cultivation and rotation are used for weed control.

Weed control using cultivation techniques begins in October after harvest, when a stubble disc loosens soil prior to winter rains. The following March, a single pass of a chisel plow knocks down many of the winter annual weeds. In April and then again in May, sweeps or points are used to eliminate most of the remaining weeds. In August, weeds that have escaped the prior tillage practices are pulled up with a rod-weeder. A field cultivator may be used to pre-work the soil prior to the use of the rodweeder depending on the specific site and soil type, but always prior to the fall planting.

Weed control using herbicides is practiced by some growers in place of cultivation in fallow land. The decision whether to use cultivation or chemical methods is based on soil type, topography, the amounts and timing of rainfall as well as personal preferences. Roundup is the most common postemergent herbicide applied to control weeds that have emerged after the initial winter rains in fallow land.

Both the choice of post-emergent herbicide(s) and timing of application are dependent on the specific site and weed type. In this study, during March, one-half of the acreage is sprayed by air with a combination of Glean and 2,4-D amine to control Russian Thistle and other broadleaves. Avenge is applied to the other half of the acreage to control wild oats and retard the growth of ripgut brome.

Pesticides, rates, and cultural practices mentioned in this cost study are listed in the *UC IPM Small Grains Pest Management Guidelines*, and *Integrated Pest Management for Small Grains*. Written recommendations are required for many pesticides and are made by licensed pest control advisors. For information and pesticide use permits, contact the Agricultural Commissioner's office in either Paso Robles or San Luis Obispo. For additional production information contact the San Luis Obispo County agronomy farm advisor.

Fertilization: Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus are the major nutrients required for dryland barley production. Aqua ammonia (20-0-0) is commonly the principle form of nitrogen used to fertilize barley, though other formulations of nitrogen provide supplemental amounts of N. Aqua ammonia is injected into the soil at the same time as the last tillage operation just prior to planting. Additional "starter" fertilizers that contain nitrogen and significant amounts of phosphorus and sulfur, such as 26-14-0-12 and 30-12-0-8, are applied during the planting operation via the grain drill. Fertilizer rates on the Carrizo Plains are relatively low compared to other regions. In this study, aqua ammonia supplies about one-half of the applied N and 26-14-0-12 the other half, totaling 40 pound of N per acre. Some growers may apply additional N by topdressing between tillering and jointing.

Planting: Planting is accomplished with grain drills. Fields are cultivated prior to planting, permitting conventional grain drills or air seeders to be used. Dryland barley is planted at rates of 70 to 90 pounds per acre. As noted in fertilizer section, additional granular 26-14-0-12 may be applied concurrently with seeding.

The most common seed variety used in San Luis Obispo County is UC 337. The cost of seed is calculated at market prices plus \$25 per ton for custom cleaning and 10% to cover cleaning losses.

The optimum time to plant is considered to be mid-November through January.

3. HARVEST AND TRANSPORTATION:

Harvest: Typically growers with this size farm will own their combines, truck-tractors, grain trailers, and support equipment. The combines are specifically designed for hillside use. This design lets the grain platform (or header) and chassis run at the same slope as the hill while the cab and grain bin remain upright. In this study, 20 foot header combines are used. Truck-tractors are used to haul empty grain trailers up and along side combines so harvested grain can be directly loaded into them. Full trailers are hauled from fields to either on farm storage facilities or to market.

Hauling: Growers own their own trucks and trailers and often haul their grain to market themselves. The other option is to have the barley hauled by a contract hauling company. Either way, the growers bear the cost of transportation. Typical hauling charges are \$8 per ton from on-farm storage and \$10 per ton from the field.

Harvesting is done either by the growers or on custom contract basis. In this study, the grower harvests the crop. Harvest equipment are listed in investment costs in Table 4. and labor, fuel, repairs, depreciation, and operating interest, are calculated as harvest costs in Table 1. If a grower contracts his harvest operation, a custom charge must be used in place of all harvesting costs.

4. <u>YIELDS & RETURNS</u>

<u>**Yields:**</u> Crop yields for barley in San Luis Obispo County over the past seven years range from 0.62 to 1.30 tons per acre. County average yields from 1988 to 1994 are shown in **Table B**. In this study, a barley yield of 1.40 tons per acre is used.

<u>Returns</u>: Average barley prices have ranged from \$100 to \$122 per ton for San Luis Obispo County growers. Grower prices over the last seven years are shown in **Table B**. These prices do not include any income from government programs. However, federal farm programs can play an important role in dryland barley production and require consideration by growers and landowners in estimating their returns and profitability. Growers should contact their local FSA office to determine how best to use these services.

Year	Tons Per Acre	\$ Per Ton
1994	1.02	108
1993	1.12	105
1992	1.13	102
1991	0.80	100
1990	0.62	110
1989	0.85	116
1988	1.30	122

Table B. Average Yield and Price for Barley, San Luis Obispo County, 1988 - 1994 $\frac{1}{2}$

¹/ From San Luis Obispo County Crop Reports, 1988 - 1994

5. <u>RISK</u>

The risks associated with dryland barley production should be noted. While this study makes every effort to model a production system based on typical, real world practices, it cannot fully represent financial, agronomic and market risks which affect the profitability and economic viability of barley production.

Risk is caused by various sources of uncertainty which include production, price, and financial. Examples include insect damage, a decrease in price, and an increase in interest rates. Because of these risks, access to production information as well as market and financial information is crucial.

6. <u>LABOR</u>

Basic hourly wages for workers are \$5.00 per hour for both machine operators and non-machine workers. Adding 34% for SDI, FICA, insurance and other benefits gives the labor rates shown of \$6.70 per hour for labor. The labor for operations involving machinery are 20% higher to account for the extra labor involved in equipment set up, moving, maintenance and repair.

7. <u>CASH OVERHEAD</u>

Cash overhead consists of various cash expenses paid out during the year that are assigned to the whole farm and not to a particular operation. These costs include property taxes, interest on operating capital, office expense, liability and property insurance, and equipment repairs.

Property Taxes: In California, counties charge a base property tax rate of 1% on the assessed value of the property. In some counties special assessment districts exist and charge additional taxes on property including equipment, buildings, and improvements. For this study, county taxes are calculated as 1% of the average value of the property. Average value equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

Interest On Operating Capital: Interest on operating capital is based on cash operating costs and is calculated monthly until harvest at a nominal rate of 7.89% per year. A nominal interest rate is the going market cost of borrowed funds during the production year.

Insurance: Insurance for farm investments vary depending on the assets included and the amount of coverage. Property insurance provides coverage for property loss and is charged at 0.713% of the average value of the assets over their useful life. Liability insurance covers accidents on the farm and costs \$960 for the entire farm or \$0.2 per producing acre of land.

Office Expense: Office and business expenses are estimated at \$5 per acre. These expenses include office supplies, telephones, bookkeeping, accounting, legal fees, road maintenance, etc. Cash overhead costs are found in **Tables 1**, **2**, **3** and **4**.

8. <u>NON-CASH OVERHEAD</u>

Non-cash overhead is comprised of depreciation and interest charged on equipment and other investments. Purchase of used equipment is common with dryland barley growers operating on very narrow margins. In this study the current purchase price for new equipment is adjusted to 40% of new value to indicate the mix of new and used equipment. Annual equipment and investments costs are shown in **Tables 1** and **4**. They represent depreciation and opportunity costs for each investment on an annual per acre basis.

Depreciation: Depreciation is a reduction in market value of investments due to wear, obsolescence, and age, and is on a straight line basis. Annual depreciation is calculated as purchase price minus salvage value divided by years the investment is held. The purchase price and years of life are shown in **Table 4**.

Interest On Investment: Interest is charged on investments to account for income foregone (opportunity cost) that could be received from an alternative investment. The investments are assumed to be owned outright. Therefore, interest on investments is a non-cash cost. Investments include buildings and equipment. Interest is calculated as the average value of the investment during its useful life, multiplied by 3.72% per year. Average value for equipment and buildings equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

The interest rate used to calculate opportunity cost is estimated as a ten year average of the agricultural sector long run rate of return to production assets. It is used to reflect the long-term realized rate of return to these specialized resources that can only be used effectively in the agricultural sector.

9. EQUIPMENT CASH COSTS

Equipment costs are composed of three parts; non-cash overhead, cash overhead, and operating costs. Both of the overhead factors have been discussed in previous sections. The operating costs consist of fuel, lubrication, and repairs.

In allocating the equipment costs on a per acre basis, hourly charges are calculated first and shown in **Table 5**. Repair costs are based on purchase price, annual hours of use, total hours of life, and repair coefficients formulated by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). Fuel and lubrication costs are also determined by ASAE equations based on maximum PTO HP, and type of fuel used. The fuel and repair cost per acre for each operation in **Table 1** is determined by multiplying the total hourly operating cost in **Table 5** for each piece of equipment used for the cultural practice by the number of hours per acre for that operation. Tractor time is 10% higher than implement time for a given operation to account for setup time. Prices for on-farm delivery of diesel and gasoline are \$0.95 and \$1.20 per gallon, respectively.

10. ADDENDUM

1. Due to rounding, totals may be slightly different from the sum of components.

2. The per acre equipment costs in Table 1 reflect both the value and the level of use (hours and years of use) of the machinery complement. Therefore this cost could be different from the per acre value of the machinery complement in Table 4.

11. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Appreciation is expressed to Paul Zellman, Staff Research Associate, who was involved at the initial stage of the development of this cost study and Delos Walton, Staff Research Associate, for assisting in the development of the final report. We also express our appreciation to those growers and other cooperators who provided data for the development of this cost study.

<u>REFERENCES</u>:

- 1. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1992. <u>American Society of Agricultural Engineers</u> <u>Standards Yearbook</u>. St. Joseph, MI.
- Blank, Steve, Karen Klonsky, Kim Norris, and Steve Orloff. 1992. Acquiring alfalfa hay equipment: A financial analysis of alternatives. Giannini Information Series No. 92-1. Univ. of California. Oakland, CA.
- 3. Boelje, Michael D., and Vernon R. Eidman. 1984. <u>Farm Management</u>. John Wiley and Sons. New York, NY

4. Statewide IPM Project. 1981. <u>Integrated Pest Management for Small Grains</u>. Pub. 3312. UC DANR Oakland, CA.

 Statewide IPM Project. 1990. <u>UC Pest Management Guidelines, Small Grains</u>. *In* M. L. Flint (ed.) <u>UC</u> <u>IPM pest management guidelines</u>. Pub. 3339. IPM Education and Pub. Univ. of California, Div. of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Oakland, CA.

Table 1. Labor Rate: \$ 6.70/hr. machine la	bor \$ 0.00/h	COSTS I r. non-mach	PER ACRE TO PRO	ODUCE BARLEY Yield per Acre:1	40 Ton 1	Interest Rate:	7 00%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-		Interest Rate.	1.098
Operation	Time	Labor	Fuel,Lube	Cash and Labor Co Material	Custom/	Total	Your
Operation	(Hrs/A)	Cost	& Repairs	Cost	Rent	Cost	Cost
Cultural:	((
Stubble Disc	0.14	1.11	5.20	0.00	0.00	6.31	
Chisel Plow	0.10	0.83	3.43	0.00	0.00	4.26	
Cultivate	0.15	1.21	4.89	0.00	0.00	6.10	
Rod Weeder	0.04	0.33	1.30	0.00	0.00	1.63	
Aqua Rig Injection	0.05	0.41	1.95	2.10	0.00	4.46	
Drill seed & starter fertilizer	0.06	0.46	3.04	24.57	0.00	28.08	
Aerial Post-emerg. Herbicide	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.18	3.75	7.93	
Pickup Truck Use	<u>0.16</u>	<u>1.29</u>	<u>0.81</u>	0.00	0.00	2.09	
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	0.70	5.63	20.63	30.86	3.75	60.87	
Harvest:	0.70	5.05	20.03	30.80	3.75	00.07	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Harvest	0.10	1.61	7.89	0.00	0.00	9.50	
Haul from field to storage	0.05	0.40	0.73	0.00	0.00	$\frac{1.13}{10.62}$	
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS	0.15	2.01	8.62	0.00	0.00	10.63	
Haul to LA Market	0.08	0.64	0.00	0.00	14.00	14.64	
TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS	0.08	0.64	0.00	0.00	14.00	14.64	
Interest on operating capital @ 7	.89%					4.55	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE		8.29	29.25	30.86	17.75	90.70	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON						64.78	
CASH OVERHEAD:							
Liability Insurance						0.20	
Office Expense						5.00	
Share Rent						32.20	
Property Taxes						0.82	
Property Insurance						0.58	
Investment Repairs						0.61	
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS						39.41	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE						130.10	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON						92.93	
NON-CASH OVERHEAD:	Per pro	ducing		- Annual Cost			
Investment	Acr		Depreciation	n Interest @	3.72%		
Fuel Tanks & Pumps		.63	0.22		0.07	0.29	
Fuel Wagon		.36	0.03		0.01	0.04	
Grain Storage		.00	0.36		0.25	0.61	
Shop Building		.94	0.54		0.24	0.78	
Shop Tools		.39	0.11		0.05	0.16	
Equipment	118		10.03		2.43	12.46	
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	<u>148</u>		11.28		3.05	14.33	
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	140		11.20	,	5.05	144.44	
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE TOTAL COSTS/TON						103.17	
101AL COB15/10N						103.17	

1995/1996 San Luis Obispo Dryland Barley Cost and Return Study

UC Cooperative Extension

Table 2.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION COSTS AND RETURNS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE BARLEY SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

				Price or	Value or	Your
	Quantit	cy/Acre		Cost/Unit	Cost/Acre	Cost
			RETURNS			
	Barley	1.4) Ton	115		1.00
TOTAL	GROSS RETURNS FOR BARL	ΈY			16	51.00
		OPERAI	ING COSTS			
Ferti	lizer:					
	Aqua Ammonia	50.0) Lb	0	.042	2.10
	26-14-0-12	154.0) Lb	0	.118 1	8.17
Seed:	Barley Seed	80.00) Lb	0	.080	5.40
Custo	m:					
	Aerial Application	1.0) Acre	e 3	.75	3.75
	Haul to LA	1.4) Ton	10	.00 1	4.00
Herbi	cide:					
	2,4-D Amine	0.3	3 Gal			4.18
	Labor (machine)	1.2	4 hrs	6	.70	8.29
	Labor (non-machine)	0.0) hrs	0	.00	0.00
	Fuel - Gas	0.4) gal	1	.20	0.48
	Fuel - Diesel	13.2	9 gal	1	.15 1	5.28
	Lube					2.36
	Machinery repair				1	1.12
	Interest on operating ca	apital @	7.89%		_	4.5 <u>5</u>
	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/A	CRE			9	0.70
	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/T	ON			6	4.7 <u>8</u>
]	NET RETURNS ABOVE OPERAT	TING COSTS			7	0.30
		CASH OVE	RHEAD COST	:S:		
	Liability Insurance					0.20
	Office Expense					5.00
	Share Rent				3	2.20
	Property Taxes					0.82
	Property Insurance					0.58
	Investment Repairs					0.61
	TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COS	TS/ACRE				9.41
	TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	<u>in an</u> 2				0.10
	TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON					2.93

Labor Rate: \$ 6.70/hr. machine labor \$ 0.00/hr. non-machine labor Interest Rate: 7.89%

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS (DEPRECIATION & INTEREST):	
Fuel Tanks & Pumps	0.29
Fuel Wagon	0.04
Grain Storage	0.61
Shop Building	0.78
Shop Tools	0.16
Equipment	<u>12.46</u>
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD_COSTS/ACRE	14.33
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE 1	44.44
TOTAL COSTS/TON 1	03.17
NET RETURNS ABOVE TOTAL COSTS	16.56

Table 3.			MOI			PER ACRI SPO COUNT			LEY				
Beginning OCT 94	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	
Ending SEP 96	94	94	94	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95_	
Cultural:													
Stubble Disc	6.31												
Chisel Plow						4.26							
Cultivate							3.05						
Rod Weeder											1.63		
Aqua Rig Injection													
Drill seed & starter ferti	lizer												
Aerial Post-emerg. Herbici	de												
Pickup Truck Use	<u>0.17</u>	_0.17	_0.17	0.17	0.17	_0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	_0.17	0.17	
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	6.48	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	4.44	3.22	0.17	0.17	0.17	1.81	0.17_	
Harvest:													
Haul from field to storage													
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS													
Postharvest:													
Haul to LA Market													
TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS													
Interest on oper. capital	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	6.53	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	4.51	3.32	0.27	0.27	0.28	1.92	0.29	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	4.66	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	3.22	2.37	0.20	0.20	0.20	1.37	0.21	
OVERHEAD:													
Liability Insurance													
Office Expense	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	
Share Rent													
Property Taxes				0.41						0.41			
Property Insurance				0.29						0.29			
Investment Repairs	0.05	<u>0.05</u>	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	<u>0.05</u>	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	<u>0.05</u>	
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.96	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.96	0.26	0.26	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	6.78	0.48	0.48	1.18	0.48	4.77	3.58	0.53	0.53	1.24	2.18	0.55	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	4.85	0.34	0.34	0.84	0.34	3.41	2.56	0.38	0.38	0.88	1.56	0.39	
Cultural:													
Stubble Disc													6.31
Chisel Plow													4.26
Cultivate	3.05												6.10
Rod Weeder													1.63
Aqua Rig Injection		4.46											4.46
Drill seed & starter ferti	lizer	28.08											28.08
Aerial Post-emerg. Herbici						7.93							7.93
Pickup Truck Use													2.09
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	3.05	32.54				7.93							60.87

Table 3. Continued

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION MONTHLY CASH COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE BARLEY SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

Beginning OCT 94	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
Ending SEP 96	95	95	95	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	
Harvest:													
Harvest										9.50			9.50
Haul from field to storage										1.13			1.13
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS										10.63			10.63
Postharvest:													
Haul to LA Market												14.64	14.64
TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS												14.64	14.64
Interest on oper. capital	0.13	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.47			4.52
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	3.18	32.89	0.35	0.35	0.35	8.33	0.40	0.40	0.40	11.10		14.64	90.67
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	2.27	23.49	0.25	0.25	0.25	5.95	0.29	0.29	0.29	7.93		10.46	64.76
OVERHEAD:													
Liability Insurance				0.20									0.20
Office Expense	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	5.00
Share Rent										32.20			32.20
Property Taxes													0.82
Property Insurance													0.58
Investment Repairs													0.61
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.41	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	32.41	0.21	0.21	39.41
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	3.39	33.10	0.56	0.76	0.56	8.54	0.61	0.61	0.61	43.51	0.21	14.85	130.08
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	2.42	23.64	0.40	0.54	0.40	6.10	0.43	0.43	0.43	31.08	0.15	10.61	92.91

Table 4.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

WHOLE FARM ANNUAL EQUIPMENT, INVESTMENT, AND BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS TO PRODUCE BARLEY

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

ANNUAL EQUIPMENT COSTS

		-	- Non-Cash	Over	Cash Ove	rhead -	
		Yrs	Depre-		Insur-		
Yr Description	Price	Life	<u>ciation</u>	Interest	ance	Taxes	<u>Total</u>
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor	120000	12	9000.00	2455.20	470.58	660.00	12585.78
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor #2	120000	12	9000.00	2455.20	470.58	660.00	12585.78
96 Aqua Rig-40' 800ga	20000	10	1800.00	409.20	78.43	110.00	2397.63
96 Chisel Plow - 40'	17000	15	1020.00	347.82	66.67	93.50	1527.99
96 Chisel Plow - 40' #2	17000	15	1020.00	347.82	66.67	93.50	1527.99
96 Combine-20' Header	160000	10	14400.00	3273.60	627.44	880.00	19181.04
96 Combine-20' Header #2	160000	10	14400.00	3273.60	627.44	880.00	19181.04
96 Cultivator - 55'	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22
96 Cultivator - 55' #2	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22
96 Disc - Stubble 30'	37500	15	2250.00	767.25	147.06	206.25	3370.56
96 Disc - Stubble 30' #2	37500	15	2250.00	767.25	147.06	206.25	3370.56
96 Grain Drill - 36'	35000	10	3150.00	716.10	137.25	192.50	4195.85
96 Grain Drill - 36' #2	35000	10	3150.00	716.10	137.25	192.50	4195.85
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton	13125	7	1687.43	268.55	51.47	72.19	2079.64
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton #2	13125	7	1687.43	268.55	51.47	72.19	2079.64
96 Rod Weeder 50'	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22
<u>96 Truck & Grain Trailer</u>	60000	10	5400.00	1227.60	235.29	330.00	7192.8 <u>9</u>
TOTAL	890250		74264.86	18214.54	3491.12	4896.38	100866.9 <u>0</u>
40% of New Cost *	356100		29705.94	7285.82	1396.45	1958.55	40346.7 <u>6</u>

* Used to reflect a mix of new and used equipment.

ANNUAL INVESTMENT COSTS

	- Non-Cash Over Cash Overhead									
		Yrs	Depre-		Insur-					
Description	Price	Life	ciation	Interest	ance	Taxes	Repairs	Tota		
 VESTMENT										
Fuel Tanks & Pumps	18152	15	1089.13	371.39	71.18	99.84	363.00	1994.5		
Fuel Wagon	1808	10	162.70	37.00	7.09	9.94	36.16	252.8		
Grain Storage	60000	30	1800.00	1227.60	235.29	330.00	1200.00	4792.8		
Shop Building	59682	20	2685.70	1221.09	234.04	328.25	1193.00	5662.0		
Shop Tools	11936	20	537.10	244.22	46.81	65.65	238.70	1132.4		
TAL INVESTMENT	 151578		6274.63	3101.30	594.41	 833.68	3030.86	13834.88		

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

Table 4. Continued

ANNUAL BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS

		========	=========	=========
	Units/		Price/	Total
Description	Farm	Unit	Unit	Cost
Liability Insurance	5000.00	Acre	0.20	1000.00
Office Expense	5000.00	Acre	5.00	25000.00
Share Rent	2500.00	Acre	32.20	80500.00

Table 5.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION HOURLY EQUIPMENT COSTS TO PRODUCE BARLEY SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

				C(OSTS PER	HOUR			
	Actual	-Non-Cas	h Over	- Cash Ove	erhead -		Operating		
	Hours	Depre-		Insur-			Fuel &	Total	Total
Yr Description	Used	ciation	Interest	ance	Taxes	Repairs	Lube	Oper.	Costs/Hr.
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor	1000.3	3.60	0.98	0.19	0.26	4.00	23.03	27.03	32.06
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor #2	999.6	3.60	0.98	0.19	0.26	4.00	23.03	27.03	32.07
96 Aqua Rig-40' 800ga	128.9	5.58	1.27	0.24	0.34	8.04	0.00	8.04	15.48
96 Chisel Plow - 40'	224.9	1.81	0.62	0.12	0.17	3.57	0.00	3.57	6.29
96 Chisel Plow - 40' #2	224.9	1.81	0.62	0.12	0.17	3.57	0.00	3.57	6.29
96 Combine-20' Header	275.0	20.95	4.76	0.91	1.28	19.38	16.50	35.88	63.78
96 Combnie-20' Header #2	275.0	20.95	4.76	0.91	1.28	19.38	16.50	35.88	63.78
96 Cultivator - 55'	249.5	2.16	0.49	0.09	0.13	2.88	0.00	2.88	5.76
96 Cultivator - 55' #2	249.5	2.16	0.49	0.09	0.13	2.88	0.00	2.88	5.76
96 Disc - Stubble 30'	249.8	3.60	1.23	0.24	0.33	8.12	0.00	8.12	13.52
96 Disc - Stubble 30' #2	249.8	3.60	1.23	0.24	0.33	8.12	0.00	8.12	13.52
96 Grain Drill - 36'	143.3	8.80	2.00	0.38	0.54	11.70	0.00	11.70	23.42
96 Grain Drill - 36' #2	143.3	8.80	2.00	0.38	0.54	11.70	0.00	11.70	23.42
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton	285.0	2.37	0.38	0.07	0.10	1.59	3.45	5.04	7.96
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton #2	285.0	2.37	0.38	0.07	0.10	1.59	3.45	5.04	7.96
96 Rod Weeder 50'	199.1	2.71	0.62	0.12	0.17	1.82	0.00	1.82	5.43
96 Truck & Grain Trailer	200.0	10.80	2.46	0.47	0.66	9.62	4.96	14.58	28.96

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION RANGING ANALYSIS TO PRODUCE BARLEY SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

	<u>100 10</u>	INODUCE	DI 11 (111) 1		
		YIEL) (TON/A	ACRE)	
	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	1.80
		OPERATI	NG COST	S/ACRE:	
Cultural Cost	61	61	61	61	61
Harvest Cost	б	8	11	13	16
Postharvest Cost	15	15	15	15	15
Interest on operating capital	5	5	5	5	5
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	86	88	91	93	96
CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	40	39	39	39	39
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	125	128	130	133	135
NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	16	14	14	14	15
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	142	141	144	147	150

COSTS PER ACRE AT VARYING YIELDS TO PRODUCE BARLEY_

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE	OPERAT	TING COS	TS FOR	BARLEY		
PRICE		YIELD	(TON/A	CRE)		
(DOLLARS PER TON)	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	1.80	
105.00	19	38	56	75	93	
110.00	24	44	63	83	102	
115.00	29	50	70	91	111	
120.00	34	56	77	99	120	
125.00	39	62	84	107	129	

Table 6.

PRICE	YIELD (TON/ACRE)					
(DOLLARS PER TON)	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	1.80	
105.00	-20	-2	17	35	54	
110.00	-15	4	24	43	63	
115.00	-10	10	31	51	72	
120.00	-5	16	38	59	81	
125.00	-0	22	45	67	90	

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE CASH COSTS FOR BARLEY

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE TOTAL COSTS FOR BARLEY

PRICE		YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
(DOLLARS PER TON)	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	1.80			
105.00	-37	-15	3	21	39			
110.00	-32	-9	10	29	48			
115.00	-27	-3	17	37	57			
120.00	-22	3	24	45	66			
125.00	-17	9	31	53	75			